

¹Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT; ²Arizona Blood and Cancer Centers of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV; ⁴Arcus Biosciences, Inc., Hayward, CA; ⁵Prisma Health Cancer Institute, ITOR, Greenville, SC

INTRODUCTION

The Adenosine Axis in Cancer

In response to platinum chemotherapy, dying tumor cells release high levels of ATP into the tumor microenvironment (TME) where CD39 and CD73 convert ATP to adenosine (Figure 1).^{1,2} By binding adenosine receptors 2a and 2b (A₂, R and A_{2b}R) expressed on immune cells, adenosine promotes immunosuppression by inhibiting critical components of the antitumor immune response and ultimately enables tumor cells to evade destruction.² Initial research focused on A₂R as the most relevant adenosine receptor in cancer physiology; however, A_{2b}R signaling mediates unique functions, such as activation of MAPK signaling.³ Thus, adenosine receptor blockade may have the potential to overcome adenosinedependent immunosuppression and lead to enhanced therapeutic efficacy of some chemotherapeutic agents.²

Figure 1. Critical Role of Adenosine Pathway in Immunosuppressive Tumor Microenvironment



ATP, adenosine triphosphate; A_{2a}R/A_{2b}R, adenosine receptors 2a/2b; DC, dendritic cell; MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cells; NK, natural killer; TAM, tumor-associated macrophage.

AB928 is an orally bioavailable, small-molecule, selective dual antagonist of A₂R and A₂R that was specifically designed to block the immunosuppressive effects associated with high adenosine concentration within the TME; it is the only adenosine receptor antagonist in active clinical trials that potently blocks A_{2b}R. Currently, there are 4 ongoing global phase 1/1b disease-specific platform studies to assess safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics (PD), and preliminary clinical activity of AB928 in combination with chemotherapy and/or anti-PD-1 antibody⁴ • Based on dose escalation data from these studies, AB928 150 mg once daily (QD) was selected as the recommended dose

for expansion (RDE) based on PK, PK/PD correlation, and a well-tolerated safety profile of AB928 + chemo/immunotherapy

ARC-3 Study Rationale

chemotherapy, specifically 5-fluorouracil plus oxaliplatin (FOLFOX), is a standard of care (SOC) treatment for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC).⁵ Despite recent therapeutic advances, patients with mCRC have a 5-year survival rate of 15%, which leaves a great unmet need for novel mCRC treatments with improved safety, enhanced efficacy, and that can induce durable clinical benefits.⁶ • In multiple analyses of human tumors, CRC has been shown to have some of the highest expression levels of CD73 and A_{2b}R compared with other tumor types^{7,8}

- Additionally, KRAS, BRAF, and EGFR mutations found in mCRC are associated with CD73 overexpression^{9,10} (Figure 2) • KRAS and BRAF mutant tumors not only produce higher levels of adenosine but may also respond, in an autocrine
- A₂, R-mediated fashion, to those increased adenosine levels by activating growth pathways synergistic with the oncogenic
- In preclinical studies, AB928 + oxaliplatin synergistically inhibited murine tumor growth and increased the number of intratumoral CD8+ T cells¹¹
- For these reasons, patients with mCRC may be particularly sensitive to AB928 in combination with SOC chemotherapy

Figure 2. Correlation of EGFR, KRAS, and BRAF Mutations with Elevated CD73 Expression

Oncogenic Drivers of CD73 Expression BRAF . _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ 0.50 Model estimates (adjusted for tumor type) CD73 higher in Altered vs WT '3 lower in Altered vs WT FDR, false discovery rate; WT, wild type.

Corresponding Author: Melissa Paoloni (mpaoloni@arcusbio.com)

Efficacy and Safety of AB928 Plus Modified FOLFOX-6 in Participants With Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Initial Results at the Recommended Dose for Expansion (ARC-3)

M Cecchini,¹ M Modiano,² F Braiteh,³ OS Gardner,⁴ HN Gilbert,⁴ D DiRenzo,⁴ L Seitz,⁴ MJ Walters,⁴ F Yin,⁴ R Woloski,⁴ MC Paoloni,⁴ and KY Chung⁵



3 patients evaluable for toxicity completed the DLT evaluation period for a given AB928 dose, subsequent patients may be enrolled at the same, lower, or higher AB928 dose, or a dose may be chosen as the RDE that has not exceeded the MTD; ^b Patients will continue to receive study drug until disease progression or toxicity as assessed by the investigator; mFOLFOX-6 regimen: oxaliplatin: 85 mg/m² IV Q2W; leucovorin 400 mg/m² IV Q2W; and 5-FU 400 mg/m² IV bolus + 2,400 mg/m² (continuous 46-hour infusions on Days 1-2)^{12,13} 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; CRC, colorectal cancer; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; EOT, end of treatment; GEC, gastroesophageal cancer; IV, intravenously; MTD, maximum tolerated dose; Q2W, every 2 weeks; PO, orally; QD, once daily; RDE, recommended dose for expansion.

ARC-3 Design Features

- Primary objective is safety and tolerability of AB928 + mFOLFOX-6 with secondary objectives that include clinical activity • Eligible patients have histologically confirmed GEC (dose escalation only) or CRC that is metastatic or locally advanced and unresectable; \geq 1 measurable lesion per RECIST v1.1; ECOG performance status 0-1
- Baseline archival tumor specimens or biopsies and on-treatment biopsies (if medically feasible) are collected from all patients to evaluate their immune composition and disease characteristics before and after treatment
- Patients receive AB928 + mFOLFOX-6 in combination until progression, unacceptable toxicity, or investigator decision
- 5-fluorouracil and oxaliplatin may be discontinued due to SOC guidelines; AB928 and/or other study treatments may be continued until the aforementioned criteria are met

Statistical Analysis

- Safety analyses included all patients who received at least 1 dose of AB928 - Summary statistics were provided for treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) and serious AEs (TESAEs), TEAE severity, and AE relationship to study drugs
- Efficacy analyses included all patients who were enrolled and assigned to receive AB928
- Clinical activity was assessed according to RECIST v1.1 criteria

Q2W, once every 2 weeks; QD, once daily; SD, standard deviation.

– Disease control rate (DCR) was defined as the percentage of patients with a best overall response of complete response (CR), partial response (PR), or stable disease (SD) for ≥ 2 disease assessments

RESULTS

Patient Baseline Characteristics

As of May 8, 2020, 35 patients have received AB928 + mFOLFOX-6: 75 mg AB928 (n = 4) or 150 mg AB928 (n = 31) • 150 mg AB928 QD was selected as the RDE based on PK, PK/PD correlation, and a well tolerated safety profile in the dose

escalation portion of the study

For all patients, the mean age was 53 years; most patients were white (83%) and non-Hispanic (94%; Table 1). Most patients were late line; 22/23 patients who received prior treatment for metastatic disease had prior FOLFOX and/or FOLFIRI.

Table 1. Patient Demographics and Characteristics

	Dose Escalation		Dose Expansion	
Characteristics	75 mg AB928 QD + mFOLFOX-6 Q2W (n = 4)	150 mg AB928 QD + mFOLFOX-6 Q2W (n = 7)	150 mg AB928 QD + mFOLFOX-6 Q2W (n = 24)	T otal (N = 35)
Mean age (SD), years	50.0 (9.4)	52.9 (9.7)	53.4 (10.7)	52.9 (10.1)
Sex, male, n (%)	2 (50)	5 (71)	11 (46)	18 (51)
Race, n (%)				
White	3 (75)	5 (71)	21 (88)	29 (83)
Black	1 (25)	2 (29)	1 (4)	4 (11)
Asian	0	0	2 (8)	2 (6)
Ethnicity, Hispanic, n (%)	0	1 (14)	1 (4)	2 (6)
Prior therapies for metastatic disease, n (%)				
0	0	0	12 (50)	12 (34)
1	2 (50)	0	2 (8)	4 (11)
2	0	1 (14)	6 (25)	7 (20)
3+	2 (50)	6 (86)	4 (17)	12 (34)
Median prior treatments for metastatic disease, (range)	2 (1-3)	4 (2-7)	0.5 (0-4)	2 (0-7)

Available baseline tumor samples (n = 17) analyzed internally by whole exome sequencing were all microsatellite stable with low or intermediate tumor mutational burden.

RESULTS

Safety Analyses

- As shown in **Table 2**, all patients reported \geq 1 TEAE and 11 TEAEs were reported by > 30% of patients; the most common TEAEs were fatigue (66%), nausea (60%), and diarrhea (49%)
- AB928-related TEAEs occurred in 27/35 (77%) of patients
- The majority of events were Grade 1 or 2
- Ten patients reported \geq Grade 3 AB928-related TEAEs that were also possibly related to mFOLFOX-6: neutropenia (n = 5), diarrhea (n = 2), AST increased (n = 2), fatigue (n = 1), nausea (n = 1), hyperglycemia (n = 1), anemia (n = 1), acute kidney injury (n = 1)

Eight patients (23%) reported \geq 1 TESAE. One patient experienced a Grade 3 TESAE of acute kidney injury that was deemed related to AB928 and oxaliplatin; as a result, AB928 dosing was interrupted and oxaliplatin was withdrawn and the event resolved in 14 days. Three patients (9%) had TEAEs resulting in AB928 discontinuation; none were deemed related to AB928

Table 2: Treatment Emergent Adverse Events

	Dose Escalation		Dose Expansion	
Patients, n (%)	75 mg AB928 QD + mFOLFOX-6 Q2W (n = 4)	150 mg AB928 QD + mFOLFOX-6 Q2W (n = 7)	150 mg AB928 QD + mFOLFOX-6 Q2W (n = 24)	Total (N = 35)
	(1 – 1)	(1 - 1)	(1 - 1)	35 (100)
Grade > 3	4 (100)	7 (100)	15 (63)	26 (74)
Δnv TESΔE	2 (50)	3 (43)	3 (13)	8 (23)
Grade > 3	2 (50)	3 (43)	3 (13)	8 (23)
AB928-related TEAEs ^a	3 (75)	6 (86)	18 (75)	27 (77)
Grade > 3	2 (50)	1 (14)	7 (29)	10 (29)
AB928-related TESAEs ^a		0	1 (4)	1 (3)
Grade \geq 3	0	0	1 (4)	1 (3)
Any study treatment d/c due to TEAEs	1 (25)	2 (29)	6 (25)	9 (26)
AB928 discontinuation due to TEAEs	0	1 (14)	2 (8)	3 (9)
Deaths due to TEAEs	0	Û Ó	1 (4) ^b	1 (3)
TEAEs in > 30% of all patients				
Fatigue	2 (50)	5 (71)	16 (67)	23 (66)
Nausea	3 (75)	5 (71)	13 (54)	21 (60)
Diarrhea	1 (25)	6 (86)	10 (42)	17 (49)
Neutropenia	1 (25)	3 (43)	10 (42)	14 (40)
Anemia	1 (25)	4 (57)	9 (38)	14 (40)
Thrombocytopenia	1 (25)	4 (57)	8 (33)	13 (37)
Neuropathy peripheral	2 (50)	1 (14)	10 (42)	13 (37)
AST increased	1 (25)	4 (57)	8 (33)	13 (37)
ALT increased	1 (25)	3 (43)	9 (38)	13 (37)
Decreased appetite	1 (25)	4 (57)	7 (29)	12 (34)
Constipation	1 (25)	2 (29)	8 (33)	11 (31)

^a Events may also be considered related to some components of the mFOLFOX-6 treatment regimen; ^b Unexplained death on Day 2 of first treatment cycle was considered a suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction by the sponsor. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; QD, once daily; Q2W, once every 2 weeks; TEAE, treatment emergent adverse event; TESAE, treatment emergent serious adverse event.

Clinical Activity

- As of May 8, 2020, 16/35 (46%) of patients remained on active treatment (Figure 4): 8 are 1L or 2L patients, 8 are 3L+ patients • Median time on treatment was 16.1 weeks (range: 2–46 weeks) for patients in the dose escalation and 18.2 weeks (range: 0-32 weeks) for those in the dose expansion
- Median time on treatment for patients by treatment line was as follows:
- 1L patients (n = 12): 20.1 weeks (range: 0.29–32 weeks)
- 2L patients (n = 4): 9.2 weeks (range: 4.29–16.1 weeks)
- 3L+ patients (n = 19): 16.9 weeks (range: 1.71–46.0 weeks)
- BRAF and KRAS mutation/wild type status is shown for those patients with available data (Figure 4, left panels); additional biomarker characterization is ongoing

Figure 4. Time on AB928 + mFOLFOX-6 Treatment





Poster # LB-387 Abstract # 9953



Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech, Incyte, Merck, and Pfizer; has had a consulting/advisory role for Amgen, AstraZeneca, Eisai, Exelixis, Genentech, and Pfizer; participated in speakers' bureaus for Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Genentech, Incyte, Lilly, Pfizer, and PumaBiotechnology; and has received funding for travel, accommodations, or expenses from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eisai, Exelixis, Genentech, Incyte, Lilly, Pfizer, and PumaBiotechnology; OSGardner, HNGilbert, DDiRenzo, LSeitz, MJ Walters, FYin, RWoloski, and MCPaoloni are/were employees of Arcus Biosciences, Inc. and may own company stock. KY Chung is an employee of Prisma Health Cancer Institute - ITOR. Medical writing assistance was provided by Amanda Martin, PhD, of Medical Expressions (Chicago, IL) and was funded by Arcus Biosciences.

REFERENCES

1. Martins I, et al. Cell Cycle. 2009;8(22):3723-3728. 2. Vijayan D, et al. Nat Rev Cancer. 2017;17(12):765. 3. Gao ZG, et al. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(20):5139. 4. Powderly J, et al. ESMO 2019. Abstract 4854. Martini G. et al. World J Gastroenterol. 2017:23(26):4675-4688. 6. Siegel RL. et al. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020:70(1):7-30. 7. DiRenzo D. et al. AACR 2019. Abstract 3168. 8. DiRenzo D. et al. SITC 2019. Poster P557. 9. Udyavar A, et al. AACR 2019. Abstract 2526. 10. Ashok D, et al. S/TC 2019. Poster P379. 11. Jaen J, et al. S/TC 2018. Abstract 10724. 12. Cheeseman SL, et al. Br J Cancer. 2002;87(4):393-399. 13. Hochster HS, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(21):3523-3529.